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| It truly would have never ever turn out peaceful anyway, I doubt that the South would ever get rid of slavery, the two cannot coexist peacefully, action would eventually be taken.  I know that the slaves had become free, but was their lives that much different? What was the point of it all if everything sort of remains the same way?  If they still hadn’t done anything about slavery, it may have end on its own or more shockingly, 25 states would be for slavery and the other half against it… | MI only (1st): The North and the South had the chance to come to a peaceful resolve their issue on slavery if new powerful conflicts about slavery hadn’t occurred.  MI (2nd and 3rd): The North and the South had become opposing sides on the issue of slavery, the North supporting the end of it, the South with its continuation.  Details:   * The North was allied with the abolitionists, they had rejected compromise and conciliation and said that slavery was something that they could not compromise upon; sparked strong resistance from South. * The South had always stood for slavery and fought with that it was good for their economy and that the slaves needed their white masters.   MI (4th): The West was the heavier causes that led them to the Civil War.  Details:   * Territorial expansion was the main cause for tensions; it had led to desires to rule those states so they could have more power in government, which led to some serious conflicts. * By the time of President Lincoln’s election, the nation was ready to split apart. |
| Summary: The North and the South had a chance to end their tensions about slavery calmly before war, but it was too late. The North had become anti-slavery, the South for slavery and the West would help create even more conflicts that would eventually lead to the Civil War. | |